Towards an Automatic Monitoring of the Neurological State of Parkinson's Patients from Speech

J.R. Orozco-Arroyave, J.C. Vásquez-Correa F. Hönig, J.D. Arias-Londoño, J.F. Vargas-Bonilla, S. Skodda, J. Rusz, and **E. Nöth** Shanghai, 22.03.2016







Outline

Introduction
Aims of the work
Methodology
The data
Experiments and results
Discussion and future work









Introduction







Parkinson's Disease: Motivation and Prevalence

- The second most prevalent neurological disease worldwide
- Four million patients worldwide
- Speech impairments are one of the earliest manifestations
- If the impact of the disease is delayed in 20%, the total cost during the life of the patient could decrease in up to \$USD 60K









MDS-UPDRS: Standard Neurological Scale for PD

- MDS-UPDRS: Movement Disorders Society Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale
- Section III (MDS-UPDRS III) is only about motor activities
- Ranges from 0 to 132 (33 different items)
- Speech is evaluated only in one of those items!







Characteristics of Parkinson's Speech



Czech

German



Colombian

Reduced loudness

- Monotonic speech
- Breathy voice

- Imprecise articulation
- Accelerated or slowed
- Stutter-like

Dysarthric Speech







Aim of this Study

To assess the neurological state of Parkinson's patients (according to the MDS-UPDRS-III score) from speech in three different languages:

SpanishGermanCzech









Patients and speech tasks







Three databases with recordings in three languages: Spanish, German, and Czech

Isolated words, sentences, read texts, and monologues







SPANISH (PC-GITA)

- 50 patients and 50 healthy controls
- Age around 60 years
- Sound-proof booth
- Speech tasks: 21 words, 6 sentences, read text, and monologue



UNIVERSIDAD

DE ANTIOQUIA

1 8 0 3

GERMAN

- 85 patients and 85 healthy controls
- Age around 64 years
- No sound-proof booth
- Speech tasks: 6 words, 5 sentences, read text, and monologue



CZECH

- 20 patients (diagnosed at the recording session) and 15 healthy controls
- Age around 60 years
- No sound-proof booth
- Speech tasks: 7 words, 3 sentences, read text, and monologue





Methodology









Spanish German Czech









- Amplitude normalization
- Re-sampling down to 16kHz.
- Mean Cepstral Subtraction















Characterization: Onsets & Offsets

FRIEDRICH-ALEXANDER

TECHNISCHE FAKULTÄT

GEN-NÜRNBERG

17



Characterization: Speech Intelligibility (Monologues are not Considered)

- Off-the-shelf speech recognizer: Google Inc.® (API v2)
- es-CO: Colombian; de-DE: German; cs: Czech
- Correctly pronounced words are counted and Word Accuracy (WA) is computed











Experiments and Results







Experiment 1: Cross Validations and LOSO

Validation strategies

- Spanish & German: 10-fold cross validation
- Czech: LOSO (leave-one-speaker-out)

Optimization of SVR meta-parameters (C and ϵ **)**

Grid search: $C \in \{10^{-4}, 10^{-3}, ..., 10\}$ and $\varepsilon \in \{1, 10, 20, 30\}$

Evaluation of the system

Spearman's (ρ) correlation between the predicted values and the MDS-UPDRS-III labels







Spearmarcarelations:

	Energy of onset transitions				
	Words	Sentences	Read text	Monologue	
Spanish	0.44	0.49	0.44	0.56	
German	0.36	0.28	0.41	0.55	
Czech	0.29	0.25	0.21	0.23	
	Energy of offset transitions				
Spanish	0.46	0.46	0.53	0.74	
German	0.37	0.24	0.36	0.31	
Czech	0.24	0.18	0.35	0.35	
	Intelligibility: WA				
	Words	Sentences	Read text	All	
Spanish	0.39	0.20	0.07	0.49	
German	0.12	0.18	0.19	0.31	
Czech	0.22	0.16	0.15	0.25	







Experiment 2 (only Spanish): Train with PC-GITA and Test with the INTERSPEECH Challenge 2015

Train and Development sets	Test set
PC-GITA	INTERSPEECH Challenge 2015
Recorded in a sound proof booth	Eleven new patients
Mean MDS-UPDRS-III: 37	Mean MDS-UPDRS-III: 39
ε and C are optimized on development	Different acoustic conditions
Only words, sentences, read texts, and monologues are considered	Only words, sentences, read texts, and monologues are considered

Evaluation of the systems: Spearman's correlation. Baseline: & Winners:







Spearman's Correlations: ho

	Energy of onset transitions				
	Words	Sentences	Read text	Monologue	
С; ε	Image: space of the s	Marcal Participant Marcal Partitipant Marcal Participant Marcal	Marcal Processor Marcal Processor<	Sector American Control American Control	
Train/Dev	0.28	0.44	0.48	0.42	
Train/Test	0.33	0.63	0.23	0.39	
	Energy of offset transitions				
C; ε	Alteration Alterat	Open-Processing Open-Proce	Marcal Participation Marcal Pa	Control Control <t< th=""></t<>	
Train/Dev	0.24	0.23	0.23	0.62	
Train/Test	0.22	0.52	-0.24	0.12	
	Intelligibility: WA				
		Sentences	Read text	All	
С; ε	Sector Sector<	State State <th< th=""><th>Marcal Control Marcal Control Marcal</th><th>Sector All control of the sector All control of the sector All control of the sector Sector Sec</th></th<>	Marcal Control Marcal	Sector All control of the sector All control of the sector All control of the sector Sector Sec	
Train/Dev	0.40	0.22	0.28	0.44	
Train/Test	0.56	0.31	0.51	0.69	







Experiment 3: Considering the Ranks of the Predictions

- The aim of the community is to develop technology to monitor the neurological state of the patients
- It is not imperative to predict the real value of the UPDRS score, but to state whether the patient's state is improved or not
- The same SVR with no further optimization is used
- Measurements: WA and Energy of onsets







Experiment 3 (cont.)

 The obtained results are ranked and correlated with the original UPDRS scores

$$\rho_{WA} = 0,59$$
 and $\rho_{Onsets} = 0,69$

• When WA and Onsets are combined: $\rho_{all} = 0,72$









Discussion and Future Work







- The energy content of the onset transitions is suitable to predict the neurological state of PD patients
- The results on Spanish recordings are better because those patients are in a more advanced stage of the disease







- An off-the-shelf Speech Recognizer can be used to develop an intelligibility-based test for telemonitoring PD patients
- The proposed approach is slightly better than the method proposed by the winners of the ComParE 2015







Future work

- Multi-modal analyses: speech, handwriting, and gait
- Longitudinal analyses
- Recordings before, during, and after surgery









Thank you for your attention!





